UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,383	03/26/2004	Mathias Sonnek	07781.0160-00	7611
	7590 09/23/200 AN, HENDERSON LI	EXAMINER		
901 NEW YOR	K AVENUE, NW	BAIRD, EDWARD J		
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3693	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/809,383	SONNEK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		1					
	Ed Baird	3693					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abai it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request				
periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	• •	136(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may replace any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL	lianaa with 27 CED 44 27 must ba	filed within two month	a af tha data af				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	rause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		,0000				
(c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowant to place the application in beta appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11		omnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / inchantent (1 1 OL 02+).				
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving.		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 7-28</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>6</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:				
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/James A. Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3693	/Ed Baird/ Examiner, Art Unit 3693	.					
•							

Continuation of 11. Applicant argues that Non-Patent Literature document with English translation should be considered. In turn Examiner has considered NPL and has revised IDS as attached.

Applicant's arguments do NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments merely rehash issues addressed in Final Rejection filed on 09 July 2008 and incorporated therein. Also, in response to argument that Brown does not explicitly disclose or suggest an "intermediate variable" (applicant's arguments, page 16, 1st paragragh), paragragh [0034] discloses the difference in market value compared to historical cost value and "the difference in value is compared to a predetermined loss threshold"; this is indicative of Applicant's intermediate value.

Thus, the finality of the previous Office Action is maintained.